



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,705		12/11/2001	Scott J. Addonizio	1133279-0014	7327
7470	7590	03/12/2004		EXAMINER	
	& CASE LI		CHATTOPADHYAY, URMI		
		HE AMERICAS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YO	RK, NY 1	0036	3738		

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2004

7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/014,705	ADDONIZIO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAU INC DATE of this communication and	Urmi Chattopadhyay	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperent of the Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 December 2003</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 28 and 34-42 is/are w 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-27,29,30,32 and 33 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 31 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 December 2001 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	re: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/014,705

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, Species 1, claims 1-27 and 29-37 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. The traversal of the group restriction is on the ground(s) that "the stent according to the instant invention cannot be made from a continuous piece of wire as the Examiner asserts". This is not found persuasive because the examiner did not assert that the wire has to be continuous. The stent can be made from distinct elements that are joined together, as stated on page 4, lines 16-17 of the specification, wherein the elements are wire pieces. The traversal of the species requirement is on the ground(s) that the two embodiments alleged by the Examiner to be patentably distinct are, in effect, under a single genus of patentable subject matter, and all of the presently elected claims read on the elected embodiment, i.e. a stent with end zones. This is not found persuasive because the presence of end zones is not the only structural difference between the embodiments of Species 1 and 2. Figures 12-15 of Species 2 clearly shows first circumferential elements 50' of the stent being substantially identical to the second circumferential element 60 except that they are rotated to have a different orientation. See page 8, lines 29-31. This different orientation is another structural difference that deems the two embodiments of the patentably distinct. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.
- 2. Claims 28 and 38-42 have been withdrawn for being drawn to the non-elected invention of Group II. Additionally, claims 34-37 have been withdrawn for being drawn to the non-elected

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/014,705

Art Unit: 3738

Species 2. The claims being considered for further examination on the merits are 1-27 and 29-

Page 3

33.

Response to Amendment

3. The amendment filed on 12/18/03 has been entered as Paper No. 6. The changes to the

specification and claims have been approved by the examiner.

Priority

4. Applicant is reminded that in order for a patent issuing on the instant application to

obtain the benefit of priority based on priority papers filed in parent Application No. 09/511,481

under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) or (f), a claim for such foreign priority must be made in this

application. In making such claim, applicant may simply identify the application containing the

priority papers.

Drawings

5. The drawings are objected to because of the following:

a) In Figure 1, it appears that the bottom "10" should be changed to --20-- for reference

to the "second end zone".

b) It appear from page 4, line 35 and page 5, line 2 that 66' in Figure 3 should be

changed to --66--.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,705 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

6. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: reference number "310" in Figure 4 is not mentioned in the specification. The examiner suggests mentioning it on page 6, line 3 after "period", such as --period 310--. Reference number "K" in Figures 14 and 15 is also not mentioned in the specification. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

- 7. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because on lines 2-3, the portion of the sentence that reads "and the comprises at least two a first and second set of helical segments" does not make sense. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 8. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:
- a) Claim 9 requires that the angle formed by the linear segments be greater than 0 degrees. This is not commensurate in scope (the claim limitation is broader) with what is

Application/Control Number: 10/014,705 Page 5

Art Unit: 3738

disclosed in the specification, which at page 8, lines 11-12 discloses the angle as ranging from 0 to 45 degrees.

- b) Claim 19 requires that at least one first helical segment cross at least one second helical segment. This is not commensurate in scope (the claim limitation is broader) with what is disclosed in the specification. There is no support for only one first helical segment crossing only one second helical segment when there are a plurality of first and second expandable helical segments.
- c) Claim 25 requires that the linear segments of the first helical segments lie at an angle between 0-45 degrees. There is no support for this limitation in the specification; see for example Figure 5 and page 5, lines 16-19.

le Figure 5 and page 5, lines 16-19.

Because the above claim limitations were originally filed, they should now be included

written specification. into the written specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

10. Claim 5 recites the limitation "each endzone" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It appears that claim 5 should be dependent on claim 4 rather than on claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,705 Page 6

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 12. Claims 1-27, 29, 30, 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cottone, Jr. et al. (USPAP 2002/0116044).
- The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Cottone, Jr. et al. discloses an expandable stent will all the elements of claims 1, 10, 19 and 29. See Figure 4 for a plurality of expandable helical segments (39, 40) and Figure 1 for a plurality of main body cylindrical elements (1-34) being attached to one another by the helical segments (39, 40). See Figure 4 for second expandable circumferential segments (46-48) having a circumferential dimension that is less than the first expandable segment (1-33) circumferential dimension, and connecting together second expandable circumferential segments of adjacent cylindrical main body elements in helical patterns, thereby forming a plurality of generally parallel helices (39, 40) in the main body. See Figure 4 for a plurality of first expandable helical

Application/Control Number: 10/014,705

Art Unit: 3738

segments (1-33) having a different pitch value than the pitch of a plurality of second expandable helical segments (39, 40), and a first helical segment crossing at least one second helical segment. See Figure 4 for first expandable segments from adjacent cylindrical elements being connected with each other to form a plurality of first helical segments (1-33) having a pitch that differs from the pitch of a plurality of second helical segments (39, 40) formed by connecting second expandable segments from adjacent cylindrical elements with each other.

Claims 2, 3, 14, 17, 18, 20, 23-26, 30 and 33, see Figures 1 and 4 for stent structure.

Claims 4-10, 12, 15, 16, 21, 22 and 32, see Figure 7 and [0037] for structure of end zones (81), including connection elements (90-92).

Claims 11 and 27, see [0037] for the stent being radiopaque.

Claim 13, see [0030] for the stent being manufactured from a contiguous piece of material.

Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claim 31 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

receptionist may be reached at (703) 308-0858.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ms. Urmi Chattopadhyay whose telephone number is (703) 308-8510 and whose work schedule is Monday-Friday, 9:00am – 6:30pm with every other Friday off. The examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, may be reached at (703) 308-2111. The group

Should the applicant wish to send a fax for official entry into the file wrapper the Group fax number is (703) 872-9306. Should applicant wish to send a fax for discussion purposes only, the art unit fax number is (703) 308-2708.

Urmi Chattopadhyay

Art Unit 3738

David J. Isabella Primary Examiner